

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,870	07/09/2003	Seok Kim	61610068AA	8178
58027 7	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
H.C. PARK & ASSOCIATES, PLC			WEINER, LAURA S	
8500 LEESBU SUITE 7500	RG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<u>_</u>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/614,870	KIM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Laura S. Weiner	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	,	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat fity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen		_		
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/614,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a binder, classified in class 526, subclass 335.
 - Claims 9-20, drawn to a positive active material composition, classified in class 429, subclass 217.
 - III. Claims 21-30, drawn to a lithium-sulfur battery, classified in class 429, subclass 218.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II, III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as for a different battery system such as for a LiMnO2 or LiNCoO2 battery system or can be used in the negative electrode and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because they have different effects such that Group II, requires a the binder have a binder particle size of 15 um or less and requires an agent for controlling viscosity to be present versus Group III does not require the binder requirement and does not require an agent for controlling viscosity to be included.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A binder comprising:

Pick one: A a) Formula 1

- b) acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene
- c) acrylonitrile-butadiene
- d) a modified styrene-butadiene copolymer

Pick one: B e) binder does not further comprise a fluorine-based polymer (claims 1-5, Group I) or (9-15, Group II) or (21-25, Group III) or

f) binder further includes fluorine-based polymer (claims 6-8, Group I) or (16-20, Group II) or (26-30, Group III)

If f) is chosen pick one fluorine-based polymer from claims 7 or 8.

If pick Group II and picked f:

pick the viscosity agent cited in claim 19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 (Group I) or 9 (Group II) or 21 (Group III) are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1745

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was not made due to the complexity to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, therefore an election has not been made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,870 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Laura S-Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

February 6, 2006